

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/014,541                | 12/14/2001    | Chien-Tu Tseng       | TSEN3034/EM             | 3273             |
| 23364 75                  | 90 02/12/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| BACON & THOMAS, PLLC      |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 625 SLATERS<br>FOURTH FLO | OR            |                      | NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S   |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA                | A, VA 22314   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |               |                      | 1773                    | 7.               |
|                           |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  |  | AS.                  |
|---|--|--|----------------------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                      |
| Office Astion Occurrence  | 10/014,541   | TSENG, CHIEN-1   | TU                   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                      |
|   | D. S. Nakarani   | 1773   |                      |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover she  | et with the correspondence ac  | Idress               |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, r<br>y within the statutory minimum<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6<br>, cause the application to becc | nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | iy.<br>ommunication. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L   | <u>December 2001</u> .   |  |                      |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | is action is non-final.  |  |                      |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims   |  |  | ne merits is         |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |                      |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   |  | 1.   |                      |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |                      |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |                      |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |                      |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requiremen  | t.   |                      |
| Application Papers  |  |  |                      |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |  |                      |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep  |  |  |                      |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |  |                      |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on   |  | ☐ disapproved by the Examir  | ier.                 |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |  |  |                      |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer.  |  |                      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |                      |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | n priority under 35 U.S  | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                      |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |                      |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  |  |  |                      |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |  |  |                      |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2  | (a)).  | Stage                |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti   | c priority under 35 U.   | S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona   | l application).      |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro  |  |  |                      |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |                      |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) 🔲 Noti  | view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No<br>ce of Informal Patent Application (PT<br>er:  |                      |
|   |  |  |                      |

Art Unit: 1773

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-4 depends from claim 1 which requires flexible polyester base film.

Therefore in claim 2, "base film is a polyvinyl chloride"; in claim 3, "base film is an aluminum foil or a tin metal foil" and in claim 5, "base film can be replaced by any other flexible chemical film, thin paper, thin metal foil or thin cloth" renders claims confusing and indefinite.

Claim 1, lines 12-14, the Markush group "selected from group consisting for ----  $ZrO_2$ " is improper. The proper Markush group is "selected from the group consisting of  $Al_2O_3$  --- and  $ZrO_2$ ".

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as

Art Unit: 1773

to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1 and 3 recites the broad recitation polyester film and polyolefins film, respectively, and the claim also recites PET (i.e. polyethylene terephthalate) film and film such as polyethylene, polypropylene film etc, respectively which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

- 4. Applicant is requested to Note that the claims directed to a protective film having flexible base film of claims 2, 3, 4 and 5 will be restricted out. Also, claim 6 when directed to a protective film comprising a mixture of ceramic material and a base material of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4 will be restricted out.
- 5. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent

Art Unit: 1773

claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 8. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jones (U.S. Patent 3,442,686).

Jones discloses a plastic packaging film comprising base polyethylene terephthalate film having thickness of 1 mil (i.e. 25.4 microns) (col. 3, line 36) coated with a coating comprising zirconium oxide at thickness of not more than 2 microns (col. 3, lines 14-16; Example 14, ZrO<sub>2</sub> coating thickness of 1.6 microns).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D.S. Nakarani whose telephone number is 703-308-2413. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. S. Nakarani/mn February 11, 2003